# Using Twitter as a source of information for stock market prediction

Ramon Xuriguera (rxuriguera@lsi.upc.edu)

Joint work with Marta Arias and Argimiro Arratia ERCIM 2011, 17-19 Dec. 2011, University of London, UK







## Motivation and Goals

Vast amounts of new information **every <del>day</del>** second available in social networking platforms.

Can some of this information help improve time series' predictions for certain stocks?

# Hypotheses

- ► Volume

  More messages → More variance (Volatility)
- ► Sentiment
  More positive/negative → Increase/Decrease benefits
  (Returns)

# Motivation and Goals

#### Related Work

- J. Bollen, et al. *Twitter mood predicts the stock market* [5]
  - Assesses general mood by checking whether messages contain certain words (OpinionFinder and Google Profile of Mood States)
  - Predict time series direction with a self-organizing Fuzzy Neural Network
  - ► Twitter dataset from Feb. to Dec. 2008.


# Our approach

- Different sentiment classifier
- ► Target different companies and indexes by only looking at tweets related to them.
- ▶ Test with other models



# Motivation and Goals

The big picture



## **Twitter**



RT @TEDchris: Mind-shifting #TED talk on the evolution of language from Mark Pagel http://on.ted.com/Pagel

3 Aug via TweetDeck

- Social networking and microblogging service
- ► Messages or *tweets* up to 140 characters
- ► Hashtags, retweets, mentions, URLs

# Twitter Data Retrieval

# Lack of standard public datasets.

It is not allowed for third parties to redistribute Twitter Content. We were forced to create our own dataset.

# **Twitter Streaming API**

- ► An HTTP connection is kept alive to retrieve tweets as they are posted. Tweets are filtered by keyword or author.
- Limited amount of data. Can't go back in time.
- ▶ Began listening to Twitter's stream in March 2011.

## Buying data from official tweet resellers

► Extremely expensive

### **Twitter**

#### Relevance Filter

#### **Latent Dirichlet Allocation**



- ► Text as mixtures of (two) topics (relevant/not relevant)
- ▶ 300K tweet collection. Tested with 20K tweets
- ► High precision (83.3%), low recall (65.4%)

# Sentiment Analysis

Determine whether a message contains **positive or negative opinions** on a given subject

A sentiment classifier is trained with an automatically labelled dataset where **smileys are used as labels**: [1, 2, 3]

:-) :-D 
$$\rightarrow$$
 positive [:=8][-]?[)D]  
:-( $\rightarrow$  negative [:=8][-]?(

How do we go about providing text to a probabilistic classifier?

► Bag of Words Vector of occurrences/frequencies of the words in a text.

# Sentiment Analysis

#### Classifier


- Multinomial Naïve Bayes
   Will assign a tweet, the sentiment with the highest conditional probability given that tweet
- ► Binary Classification (positive/negative)
- ▶ 82.5% for twittersentiment set

#### Sentiment Index:

► Time series of the daily percentage of positive tweets concerning a company

# Sentiment Analysis

The big picture



# Forecasting Financial Time Series Goal

Focus on companies: AAPL, GOOG, MSFT, YHOO Two indices: OEX (S&P100) and GSPC (S&P500) And their implicit volatility: VXO and VIX


#### Price Returns:

- Adjusted Close
- ► Log-normally distributed
- ► Logarithmic Returns

# Volatility:

- Computed from price returns
- Exponetially Weighted Moving Average

# Forecasting Financial Time Series



# Forecasting Financial Time Series

## **Model Adequacy**

- ► Nonlinearity
  Test for nonlinear relationship between two series. [6]
- ► Causality tests
  Granger test of causality (parametric and non-parametric [7]) for
  the two time series and different lags
- ► Prequential evaluation

  Too few data to split into sets. Use all past data to re-train, then predict the direction of the series for the following day.

## Models for prediction

- Linear Regression
- ► Feed-forward Neural Networks
- ► Support Vector Machines

Compared **Accuracy** and **Directional Measure** for predictions **using** and **not using** Twitter data.


Large DM  $\rightarrow$  Model outperforms chance of random choice

Predicted	R.Filter	Model	Lags	 Acc. w/o	Acc. w/	DM w/o	DM w/
AAPL	TRUE	SVM(sigmoid)	2	 0.560	0.628	2.398	10.739
AAPL	TRUE	SVM(sigmoid)	3	 0.521	0.601	0.296	6.629
AAPL	TRUE	SVM(sigmoid)	4	 0.537	0.635	0.835	11.932


Tested many parameter configurations and we end up with **thousands** of rows of results:

Need to summarise

Decision tree. Improved Accuracy (Weka's REPTree)



# **Decision tree**. Improved DM (Weka's REPTree)



Some of the machine learning models we have evaluated, especially Support Vector Machines, present improvements in their predictive power when reinforced with our Twitter Sentiment Index

# References I

- [1] A. Go, R. Bhayani, L. Huang Twitter Sentiment Classification using Distant Supervision, 2009.
- [2] A. Bifet, E. Frank Sentiment Knowledge Discovery in Twitter Streaming Data, Discovery Science, 2010.
- [3] J.K. Ahkter, S. Soria Sentiment Analysis: Facebook Statuses Messages,
- [4] R.S. Tsay Analysis of Financial Time Series (pp. 216) 2002

# References II

- [5] J. Bollen, H. Mao and Xiao-Jun Zeng Twitter mood predicts the stock market, Journal of Computational Science, Vol. 2, Iss. 1, 2011.
- [6] T. H. Lee, H. White, C. W. J. Granger Testing for neglected nonlinearity in time series models *Journal of Econometrics*, Vol. 56, Iss. 3, 1993.
  - [7] C. Diks, V. Panchenko
    A new statistic and practical guidelines for nonparametric
    Granger causality testing
    Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control, Vol. 30, Iss. 9-10, 2006.

# **Directional Measure**

Given a contingency table with predicted results,


Actual		Predicted	
	Up	Down	
Up	$m_{11}$	$m_{12}$	$m_{10}$
Down	$m_{21}$	$m_{22}$	$m_{20}$
	$m_{01}$	$m_{02}$	m

The directional measure can be computed as:

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{\left(m_{ij} - m_{i0} m_{0j} / m\right)^{2}}{m_{i0} m_{0j} / m}$$

Under some circumstances, it behaves like a  $\chi^2$  distribution with 1 degree of freedom [4]. Assuming a 5% error, we need to have  $\chi^2 > 3.84$ .

# A bit more about LDA



- ► Generative model
- ► Words are only observed variables
- ► Topics are distribution over words
- ▶ Dirichlet: distribution over distributions